



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/901,416 | 07/09/2001 | Guoqiang Xing | TI-31729 | 7364 | |
| 23494 7 | 590 06/09/2003 | | | | |
| TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED | | | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265 | | | NGUYEN, THANH T | | |
| DALLAS, IA | 13203 | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2813 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ~ | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/901,416 | XING ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thanh T. Nguyen | 2813 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sh et with th | correspondenc add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date o | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | d amendment | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se | | sidered but does NC | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: none. | | | ! | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-13. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. | | | | | | |
| 8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | proved by the Exam | niner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In respons to applicant's argument that photoresist layer 2 is present during the tch proc ss in Flanner's teaching. Since, comprises claims leave the claims open for inclusion of additional process steps and conditions in the claimed methods, even between the enumerated process steps, which do not int rfere with the order of the process steps as set forth in the claimed invention, hence, the presence of photoresist layer 2 formed over hardmask layers 4 and 6 during the etch process in Flanner's teaching does not prevent or exclude the masking function of hardmask lay rs 4 and 6 during the etch process to form a trench in the second dielectric layer 8. There is not seen the layer 60 serves as the mask during the etch process and functions as a hard mask of the claimed invention is distinguishable from the masking function of hardmask layers 4 and 6 of cited reference Flanner during the etch process to form a trench in the second dielectric layer.